

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,780	12/22/2000	Eric Bernier	S1022/8484	4414
7590 12/02/2003			EXAMINER	
James H. Morris			DEMAKIS, JAMES A	
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. Federal Reserve Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
600 Atlantic Avenue			2836	
Boston, MA	02210-2211		DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı	_		- Charles - Char	1		
4.		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/747,780	BERNIER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James A Demakis	2836			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat re to reply within the set or extended period for reply v eply received by the Office later than three months aft and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become the control of the cont	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on Amendment of 7/28/2003.				
·	•	D)⊠ This action is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1,6-10,15 and 16 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 2-3,5,11-14 is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10)⊠	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 December 2000</u> is/are: a) $⊠$ accepted or b) $□$ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object					
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		• •			
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form P10-152.			
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	for forming a death, and a OF HOO	0.440(-) (-) (-)			
* (3)		documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have bee hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In for a list of the certified copies no or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. I in the first sentence of the specificular guage provisional application has or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No n received in this National Stage at received. a § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. a §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	FO-948) 5) 🔲 Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the fourth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Subject to the following paragraph, a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. A definition of negative resistance is restated in claim 7 and therefore does not further limit claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1,6,8-10,15-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okano et al (USPN 4262295).

Regarding claims 1,6,8-10,15-16:

Okano et al disclose a semiconductor device 9 for use as a surge arrester with a vertical NPN construction, see Figure 1. The device is a two terminal device 4, 5 and has an externally unconnected base layer 1 (Figure 2 represents an equivalent circuit to show the different base

Application/Control Number: 09/747,780

Art Unit: 2836

layer thickness across the device), an emitter layer 2 connected to a terminal 4, and a collector layer 3 connected to a terminal 5; and produces a negative resistance when the device is driven from a positive voltage source, see Figures 3 and 4. The emitter has a conductivity and a doping level with the base having an opposite conductivity and a different doping level, see Figure 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2-3,5,11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claim 4 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 4 is allowed over the prior art of record because it fails to disclose such a clipping device with an emitter extending beyond the base with the emitter periphery surrounded with a P-type lightly doped region.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3,5-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A Demakis whose telephone number is 703.305.7938. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-4:00PM.

- 50

Art Unit: 2836

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 703.308.3119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0956.

James A. Demakis 12/1/03

BRIAN SIRCUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800